The Clinico-radiologic Evaluation and Risk Factor of Ventilator-associated Pneumonia in a Pediatric Care Unit of a Tertiary Center

¹ Gürkan Atay¹, ¹ Emine Çalışkan², ¹ Nezahat Gürler³, ¹ Demet Demirkol¹, ¹ Ayper Somer⁴

¹İstanbul University İstanbul Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pediatric Intensive Care, İstanbul, Turkey
²İstanbul University İstanbul Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pediatric Radiology, İstanbul, Turkey
³İstanbul University İstanbul Faculty of Medicine, Department of Microbiology and Clinical Microbiology, İstanbul, Turkey
⁴İstanbul University İstanbul Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, İstanbul, Turkey

Cite this article as: Atay G, Çalışkan E, Gürler N, Demirkol D, Somer A. The Clinico-radiologic Evaluation and Risk Factor of Ventilator-associated Pneumonia in a Pediatric Care Unit of a Tertiary Center. J Acad Res Med 2021;11(3):262-8

ABSTRACT

Objective: Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) is the second most common form of hospital-acquired infection. Prediction of possible etiologic agents and initiation of appropriate and narrow-spectrum antibiotherapy is crucial to reduce morbidity and mortality. Clinical and radiologic variable analyses may help clinicians to foresee the usual cause of VAP.

Methods: This was a retrospective observational study evaluating the clinico-radiologic characteristics of VAP in a pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) of a tertiary referral university hospital between January 2011 and December 2016.

Results: A total of 1,323 patients in the PICU were followed during the study period, wherein 78 with a median age of 10 months (1-188) were detected to have VAP. Patients were divided into two groups according to the etiologic agents as gram-positive (n=16, 20.5%) and gram-negative VAP (n=62, 79.5%). Radiologic findings included peribronchial thickening (n=32, 41.0%), diffuse interlobular septal thickening (n=38, 48.7%), patchy infiltrate (n=54, 69.2%), consolidation (n=54, 69.2%), and pleural effusion (n=21, 26.9%). The presence of consolidation and pleural effusion were significantly more common among the patients with gram-positive VAP (p-values are 0.004 and 0.02).

Conclusion: Clinical and radiologic evaluation of patients may be a clue for the estimation of the microbiology of VAP, which is highly recommended before the initiation of empirical antibiotherapy.

Keywords: Ventilator-associated pneumonia, pediatric intensive care, clinico-radiologic evaluation

ORCID IDs of the authors: G.A. 0000-0002-0317-5872; E.Ç. 0000-0001-9869-1396; N.G. 0000-0002-1134-658X; D.D. 0000-0001-9578-9267; A.S. 0000-0002-7827-1113.



Received Date: 01.06.2021 Accepted Date: 21.10.2021

INTRODUCTION

Ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), which is defined as pneumonia occurring >48-72 h of mechanical ventilation (MV) is an important cause of nosocomial mortality (1) and is most common in adults and the second most common form of hospital-acquired infection (HAI) in the bloodstream of pediatric patients (2). The average risk of VAP is reported between 3% and 19% in children, with a cumulative incidence of 1.1-27.1 per 1,000 ventilator days (2-4). Together with VAP attributable mortality, which is nearly 13%, several reports also highlight the VAP-related undesirable outcomes, such as prolonged MV duration and length of hospital stay (LOS), economic burden, and tremendous healthcare work use (5-7).

Another unwanted consequence of increased VAP incidence is the emergence of resistant nosocomial pathogens. These patients require longer durations and several courses of antibiotherapy, thus pre-designed rational policies should be conducted in the facilities. Recent guidelines are recommended to utilize empiric antibiotherapy options of narrow-spectrum and shorter duration therapies to minimize the patient's exposure to unnecessary medicine and decrease antibiotic resistance rates (1). Dual gramnegative and empiric methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) antibiotic regimens are suggested to be limited and selection should be patient-tailored. Therefore, possible etiologic agent prediction and appropriate initiation of narrow-spectrum antibiotherapy are crucial to reduce morbidity, mortality, and many other VAP-related complications. Clinical and radiologic variable analyses may help clinicians to foresee the usual causes of VAP. As far as we know; a very limited number of studies were reported that particularly investigated the relationship between radiologic characteristics and microbiology of VAP. Children's data are even rarer.

Proceeding from this point of view, the clinico-radiologic characteristics of pediatric patients who were diagnosed with VAP in a referral university hospital were evaluated.

METHODS

Study Design and Hospital Setting

This was a retrospective observational study evaluating the clinicoradiologic characteristics of VAP in a pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) of a tertiary referral university hospital between January 2011 and December 2016. Our PICU is a 6-bed unit, accepting complicated pediatric patients aged 1 month to 18 years old. It has 2, each with 3 patient beds, without an isolation room. The patient-to-nurse ratio is 2:1.

Medical records of patients were retrospectively evaluated using standardized surveys. Information regarding, age, gender, underlying disease, LOS before PICU admission, previous antibiotic use, duration and nature of MV (intubation/ tracheostomy), antibacterial therapy regimen and duration, and clinical outcomes were recorded.

Laboratory and Microbiologic Evaluation

Laboratory evaluation included the results of complete blood count, C-reactive protein, and procalcitonin analyses that were ordered throughout the therapy. Microbiological culture reports of tracheal aspirate material (from the endotracheal tube and the tracheostomy cannula) of patients, together with antimicrobial susceptibility results, were recorded.

The tracheal aspirate specimen was initially investigated with gram stain; cultured in 5% sheep blood agar (Becton Dickinson, Germany) and chocolate agar (Oxoid, England) which was incubated in 5% CO_2 atmosphere; and cultured on Mac Conkey agar (Oxoid, England) and incubated in the normal atmosphere for 24-48 h. For anaerobic conditions, the GasPak system (Becton Dickinson, USA) was used. Isolated pathogens were identified with conventional methods (gram stain, catalase, oxidase, DNase, carbohydrate fermentation, urease effect, use of citrate, lysine decarboxylase, Voges Proskauer, motility and indole test, etc.).

Antimicrobial susceptibility was performed, according to the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) recommendations, in Mueller Hinton agar (Oxoid, England) by the Kirby Bauer disc diffusion method. Minimal inhibitory concentration analysis was performed using E-test (bioMeriéux, France) and results were evaluated according to the CLSI criteria (8).

Definition of Terms

Since January 2010, infection control nurses assigned from the Hospital Infection Control Committee have performed active monthly surveillance of HAIs in PICU, with pediatric infectious disease specialists. VAP diagnosis was performed according to the Center for Disease Control and Prevention definition criteria (9). According to this, at least one of the following should be present: a new or progressive infiltrate; consolidation, cavitation, or pleural effusion evident on chest radiography, with at least one episode of fever (>38 °C) attributable to no other recognized cause; leukopenia [<4,000 white blood cells (WBC)/mm³] or leukocytosis (≥12,000 WBC/mm³); and at least two signs of new-onset purulent sputum (a change in sputum characteristics, an increased amount of respiratory secretion or in suctioning requirements, new-onset or worsening cough, dyspnea or tachypnea, rales or bronchial breath sounds, or a worsening gas exchange profile (i.e., O₂ desaturation; PaO₂/FiO₂ level ≤240), an increased oxygen requirement, or an increased ventilation need) (10).

VAP incidence was calculated as follows: (number of cases with VAP/total number of patients who received MV x100) = VAP rate per 100 patients.

The terms of the radiologic classification used the glossary of the Fleischner Society for thoracic imaging (11). Peribronchial thickening was defined as thin circular increased density, which was peribronchially observed. Interlobular septal thickening was accepted as affecting one of the components of the septa that might be responsible for the thickening and so render septa visible (Figure 1). Infiltrate was accepted as patchy opacification

with undefined borders on chest X-ray and widespread ground glass appearance on computed tomography (CT) (Figure 2). Homogeneous dense lobar-segmental opacification with the air bronchogram and loss of silhouette sign on chest X-ray and lobar/ segmental increased density on CT was defined as consolidation (Figure 3). Pleural effusion was defined as blunting of the costophrenic or cardiophrenic angle or a meniscus laterally seen and gently sloping medially (Figure 4).

Clinico-radiological characteristics between the gram-positive and gram-negative-related VAP were compared in the end.



Figure 1. X-ray reveals "diffuse interlobular septal thickening" in both lungs



Radiologic modalities (chest X-ray and/or computerized thorax tomography), which were performed on the day of VAP diagnosis were evaluated by a pediatric radiologist from the hospital computer system. Findings were classified into 5 groups as a peribronchial thickening, interlobular septal thickening, patchy infiltrate (separate patchy opacification with uncertain borders), lobar/segmental consolidation, and pleural effusion.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis of data was performed using the statistical package for social science for Windows version 21.0 (SPSS 21.0, SPSS Inc. USA). Normality was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk tests and histogram graphics. Data are presented as median, minimum, maximum, frequency, and percentage. Categorical variables between the groups were compared with the Pearson χ^2 test or the Fisher exact test when the expected cell size was <5. The Mann-Whitney U test was used for continuous variables, which are not normally distributed. All p-values are based on 2-tailed statistical analyses and a p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. The significant predictors of gram-positive and gram-negative-related VAP with p≤0.05 in univariate analysis were fitted to perform a logistic regression analysis model to identify independent risk factors.





CT: Computed tomography



Figure 2. The X-ray revealed the scattered and patchy opacifications with uncertain borders that do not erase the contour of the heart or diaphragm representing an "infiltrate"



Figure 4. The pleural fluid is demonstrated on X-ray (right) and CT (left) (arrows) CT: Computed tomography

Ethical Committee and Informed Consent

This study was performed with the permission of the İstanbul University İstanbul Faculty of Medicine Clinical Research Ethical Committee (decision no: 772, date: 29.05.2018). This was a retrospective case-control study, thus informed consent was not obtained.

RESULTS

During the study period, a total of 1,323 patients attended to PICU, wherein 78 patients with the median age of 10 months (1-188) were detected to have VAP. Twenty-six patients (33.3%) were female. VAP incidence was 10.3/1,000 ventilator days. Patient characteristics were presented in Table 1.

The patients were divided into two groups according to etiologic agents as Gram-positive (n=16, 20.5%) and Gram-negative-related VAP (n=62, 79.5%). The most common Gram-positive microorganism was methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococcus, whereas *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Acinetobacter baumannii* were the most common Gram-negative bacteria (n=22, 28.2%). The etiologic distribution of VAP was shown in Table 1.

The most common cause of PICU admission was respiratoryrelated conditions (n=36, 46.2%), followed by neurologic

Table 1. Characteristics of patients			
Age [months, median (range)]	10 (1-188)		
Gender, female, n (%)	26 (33.3)		
PRISM score on PICU admission	9 (2-18)		
Gram-positive organisms, n (%) MRCNS MRSA	9 (11.5) 4 (5 1)		
Streptococcus pneumonia Corynebacterium spp Gram-negative organisms, n (%)	2 (2.6) 1 (1.3)		
Pseudomonas aeruginosa Acinetobacter baumannii Kleibsiella pneumonia Escherichia coli Serratia marcescens Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	22 (28.2) 22 (28.2) 6 (7.7) 1 (1.3) 2 (2.6) 9 (11.5)		
LOS before PICU admission, d, mean \pm SD	24 (10-128)		
Length of PICU stay before the diagnosis of VAP, d, median (range)	28 (4-188)		
Length of MV before the diagnosis of VAP, d, median (range)	14 (2-184)		
Clinical outcome PICU mortality, n (%) VAP cured Tracheostomies	10 (12.8) 55 (70.6) 13 (16.6)		

SD: standard deviation, PICU: pediatric intensive care unit, VAP: ventilatorassociated pneumonia, MRSA: methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, MRCNS: methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative *staphylococcus* conditions (n=21, 26.9%), post-operative follow-up (n=11, 14.1%), cardiovascular disorders (n=9, 11.5%), and decompensation of underlying metabolic disease (n=1, 1.3%). When admission diagnostic category was compared between Gram-positive and gram-negative-related VAP, no significant difference was achieved (Table 2).

Underlying chronic illnesses were noted in 59 (75.6%) patients, of which, the most common was chronic neurologic disorder (n=23, 29.5%). Gram-positive-related VAP was significantly more common among patients with chronic cardiovascular disorders (p=0.049). Possible risk factors for the development of VAP were compared between etiologic agents in Table 2. The history of surgery and thorax drainage were significantly more common in the Gram-positive-related VAP group (p-values are 0.038 and 0.026, respectively); whereas the incidence of rectal carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumonia* (CRKP) colonization was significantly higher in patients with gram-negative-related VAP (p=0.014). Empirical glycopeptide and carbapenem use were significantly higher in patients with gram-negative-related VAP (p-values are <0.001 and 0.001, respectively)

The mean LOS before PICU admission was 24 (10-128) days and the mean length of PICU stay before the diagnosis of VAP was 28 (4-188) days. The length of MV before the diagnosis of VAP was significantly longer among the patients with Gram-negativerelated VAP [17 (4-184) days] compared with that of the Grampositive ones [9 (2-33) days] (p=0.024). No significant difference was achieved in terms of laboratory variables between the two groups (p>0.05) (Table 2).

Radiologic findings included peribronchial thickening (n=32, 41.0%), diffuse interlobular septal thickening (n=38, 48.7%), patchy infiltrate (n=54, 69.2%), consolidation (n=54, 69.2%), and pleural effusion (n=21, 26.9%) (Figures 1-4). The presence of consolidation and pleural effusion were significantly more common among the patients with gram-positive-related VAP (p-values are 0.004 and 0.020, respectively).

A logistic regression analysis including the parameters with a p-value of <0.05 found in the univariate analysis was used. Empirical glycopeptide and carbapenem were found to be independent risk factors for the development of Gram-negative-related VAP, whereas the same applied to the presence of consolidation with Gram-positive-related VAP (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The National Healthcare Safety Network reports a steady decline in the VAP incidence in the United States; however, it is not valid for the low and middle-income countries, which range from 8.87 to 18.7/1,000 ventilator days (12-14). The incident reports from our country vary between different centers and between pediatric and

Table-2. Comparison of VAP according to etiologic microorganism					
	Gram-positive	Gram-negative	р		
Age [months, median (range)]	9 (1-190)	27 (2-157)	0.17		
Comorbid conditions, n (%)	10 (62.5)	49 (79)	0.17		
Chronic cardiovascular disease	5 (31.3)	7 (11.3)	0.049		
Neurologic disorder	3 (18.8)	20 (32.3)	0.23		
Metabolic disease	1 (6.3)	8 (12.9)	0.45		
Chronic respiratory disease	-	2 (3.2)	0.63		
Chronic kidney disease	-	5 (8.1)	0.30		
Malignancy	-	2 (3.2)	0.63		
Chronic liver disease	1 (6.3)	5 (8.1)	0.64		
Admission diagnostic category, n (%)					
Respiratory	6 (37.5)	30 (48.4)	0.43		
Cardiovascular	2 (12.5)	7 (11.3)	0.59		
Neurological	5 (31.3)	16 (25.8)	0.66		
Post-operative	3 (18.8)	8 (12.9)	0.40		
Metabolic disorder	-	1 (1.6)	0.79		
Risk factors					
Tracheostomy	3 (18.8)	5 (8.1)	0.20		
Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy	1 (6.3)	2 (3.2)	0.50		
Immunosuppression	2 (12.5)	5 (8.1)	0.44		
Surgery	6 (37.5)	9 (14.5)	0.038		
Renal replacement therapy	-	4 (6.5)	0.39		
Central venous catheterization	15 (93.8)	62 (100)	0,2		
Thorax drainage tube	3 (18.8)	1 (1.6)	0.026		
Total parenteral nutrition	16 (100)	60 (96.8)	0.63		
Rectal VRE colonization	4 (25.0)	20 (32.3)	0.40		
Rectal CRKP colonization	2 (12.5)	28 (45.2)	0.014		
Empirical antibiotic use before a diagnosis of VAP, n (%)					
Carbapenems	2 (12.5)	45 (72.6)	<0.001		
Glycopeptides	9 (56.3)	58 (93.5)	0.001		
Anti-pseudomonal penicillin	10 (62.5)	51 (82.3)	0.08		
Aminoglycosides	11 (68.8)	51 (82.3)	0.19		
Linezolid	-	6 (9.7)	0.23		
LOS before PICU admission, d, mean ± SD	23 (10-94)	26 (12-128)	0.78		
Length of PICU stay before the diagnosis of VAP, d, mean \pm SD	24 (4-175)	32 (4-188)	0.44		
Length of MV before the diagnosis of VAP, d, mean \pm SD	9 (2-33)	17 (4-184)	0.024		
Laboratory parameter, median (range)					
White blood cell count	14,600 (8,300-15,900)	11,340 (4,600-33,000)	0.99		
Neutrophil count	10,000 (4,700-12,400)	7,000 (1,800-16,000)	0.61		
Lymphocyte	3,800 (2,100-7,100)	2,050 (650-11,300)	0.98		
CRP	307 (69-545)	90 (0.1-259)	0.52		
PCT	14.1 (4.7-36)	1.2 (0.23-17.5)	0.27		
Radiologic findings					
Peribronchial thickening	5 (31.3)	27 (43.5)	0.37		
Diffuse interlobular septal thickening	9 (56.3)	29 (46.8)	0.49		
Patchy infiltrate	13 (81.3)	41 (66.1)	0.24		
Consolidation	14 (87.5)	30 (48.4)	0.004		
Pleural effusion	8 (50)	13 (21)	0.020		

*MRSA: methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, MRCNS: methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococcus, MV: mechanical ventilation, PICU: pediatric intensive care unit, S: standard deviation, VAP: ventilator-associated pneumonia, CRP: C-reactive protein, PCT: procalcitonin

Table 3. Multivariate analysis of the risk factors in predicting the VAP etiology				
Variable	р	Adjusted OR	95 CI	
Carbapenems	0.010	30.6	2.25-416.7	
Glycopeptides	0.031	10.7	1.25-93.1	
Consolidation	0.045	3.2	1.05-12.3	
CI: confidence interval, OR: odds ratio, VAP: ventilator-associated pneumonia				

adult ICUs. A multicenter study regarding patients in the adult ICU reports VAP incidence as high as 26.5/1,000 days, whereas the National Surveillance report of 2015 estimated a VAP incidence in PICUs as 4.7 patients per 1,000 ventilator days (15,16). A similar incidence was also reported by Şevketoğlu et al. (17) in their PICU study. Our VAP incidence was 10.3/1,000 ventilator days, which may be related to the complexity of our patients and the longer duration of PICU stay.

Most of the national and international reports highlight the dominance of Gram-negative etiology of VAP. Aerobic Gramnegative bacilli like E. coli, Klebsiella pneumonia, Enterobacter spp, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Acinetobacter spp, and Gram-positive cocci (S. aureus, MRSA, and Streptococcus spp) constitute the majority of cases, whereas viruses and fungi are exceptional (18,19). The Extended Prevalence of Infection in Intensive Care study reported that 62% of the cases were related to gram-negative microorganisms (20). In our study cohort, consistent with previous reports, the majority of patients (79.4%) had Gram-negative-associated VAP. Empirical glycopeptide and carbapenem use were found to be independent risk factors for Gram-negative-related VAP. Similarly, rectal CRKP colonization incidence was higher among those patients. This may be related to the decomposition of intestinal microbiota, which is also more common in patients with longer PICU stay.

Recent guidelines recommended the initial antimicrobial therapy, including the coverage for S. aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and other gram-negative bacilli (1). MRSA coverage, in the first place, is not recommended unless there is an increased risk for MRSA, such as patients treated in units where the resistance of S. aureus isolates is >10-20% or unknown. The golden standard for diagnosis is bacterial growth; however, it requires a specific time, which is extremely important in the pediatric age group. Hopefully, newer molecular techniques will be developed and would be more widely used shortly (21). For all that, traditional methods, such as portable chest radiographs, may help to predict the etiologic agent in the early phase. Conventional radiology was used for the diagnosis of VAP in children for a long time. However, specific definitions, like consolidation or cavity formation, were used for the definition of VAP, other than estimating the etiologic agent.

Several reports defined the radiologic differences between viral and bacterial agents or characteristic imaging findings for specific pathogens (22-24). Okada et al. (22) reported that groundglass attenuation and bronchial wall thickening on CT were demonstrative for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*-related pneumonia. Another study of the same facility found that pleural effusion was significantly more frequent in patients with MRSA pneumonia than those with MSSA pneumonia (24). Our literature research in the English language could not reveal any study evaluating the differences between the Gram-positive and negative etiology of VAP. In our study, the presence of pleural effusion and consolidation were higher among the patients with Grampositive microorganisms, which can be accepted as an important finding since the interpretations were performed by a pediatric radiologist. There is an instructive report, which is pointing the importance of specialist evaluation since opinions between clinicians and radiologists are contradicting, particularly for the discrimination of atelectasis and consolidation (25). Therefore, we highly recommend to the consulate conventional radiographs with a specialist if possible.

The onset of VAP may also be important for the estimation of the etiologic agent. Some investigators found that MSSA was the major bacterium grown in early VAP cases (the cases occurring within 4 days of MV), whereas MRSA and *Acinetobacter baumannii* were the most common bacteria in late VAP, which others declared no specific correlation (26,27).

Compatible with former studies, the median length of MV in our study cohort was significantly more common among the patients with gram-negative-related VAP. In addition, the history of surgery, thorax drainage tube, and presence of chronic cardiovascular disorders were higher in the gram-positive-related VAP group. Therefore, empirical antibiotherapy with broadened gram-positive coverage, including MRSA, may be recommended in cases with formerly specified risk factors.

Study Limitations

Study limitations include the retrospective design of the study, relatively small number of patients and third-level center, high presence of underlying chronic disease, and long hospital stays in patients, which affect the heterogeneity in the study cohort.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, clinical and radiologic evaluation of patients may be a clue for the estimation of the microbiology of VAP, which is highly recommended before the initiation of empirical antibiotherapy.

Ethics Committee Approval: This study was performed with the permission of the İstanbul University İstanbul Faculty of Medicine Clinical Research Ethical Committee (decision no: 772, date: 29.05.2018).

Informed Consent: This was a retrospective case-control study, thus informed consent was not obtained.

Peer-review: Externally and internally peer-reviewed.

Author Contributions: Surgical and Medical Practices - G.A., D.D., A.S.; Concept - G.A., D.D., A.S.; Design - G.A., N.G.; Data Collection or Processing - G.A., N.G.; Analysis or Interpretation - E.G., D.D., A.S., Literature Search - G.A., E.Ç., N.G.; Writing - G.A., E.Ç.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

References

- Kalil AC, Metersky ML, Klompas M, Muscedere M, Sweeney AD, Palmer LC et al. Management of adults with hospital-acquired and ventilator-associated pneumonia. 2016 Clinical Practice Guidelines by the Infectious Diseases Society of America and the American Thoracic Society. Clin Infect Dis 2016; 63: 61-50.
- Gupta S, Boville BM, Blanton R, Lukasiewicz B, Wincek J, Bai C, et al. A multicentered prospective analysis of diagnosis, risk factors, and outcomes associated with pediatric ventilator-associated pneumonia. Pediatr Crit Care Med 2015; 16: 65-8.
- Elward AM, Warren DK, Fraser VJ. Ventilator-associated pneumonia in pediatric intensive care unit patients: risk factors and outcomes. Pediatrics 2002; 109: 758-64.
- Beardsley AL, Nitu ME, Cox EG, Benneyworth BD. An evaluation of various ventilator-associated infection criteria in a PICU. Pediatr Crit Care Med 2016; 17: 73-7.
- Melsen WG, Rovers MM, Groenwold RH, Bergmans DC, Camus C, Bauer TT, et al. Attributable mortality of ventilator-associated pneumonia: a meta-analysis of individual patient data from randomised prevention studies. Lancet Infect Dis 2013; 13: 665-6.
- Muscedere JG, Day A, Heyland DK. Mortality, attributable mortality, and clinical events as end points for clinical trials of ventilator-associated pneumonia and hospital-acquired pneumonia. Clin Infect Dis 2010; 51(Suppl 1): S120-5.
- Kollef MH, Hamilton CW, Ernst FR. Economic impact of ventilatorassociated pneumonia in a large matched cohort. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2012; 33: 250-6.
- CLSI. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-First-Twenty Fourth Informational Supplement. CLSI document M100-S21-S24. Wayne,PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2011-2014.
- Wayne P. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute: Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: Twenty-fourth informational supplement. CLSI Document M100-S24 2014; 34: 100-24.
- Horan TC, Andrus M, Dudeck MA. CDC/NHSN surveillance definition of health care– associated infection and criteria for specific types of infections in the acute care setting Atlanta, Georgia. Am J Infect Control 2008; 36: 309-23.
- Hansell DM, Bankier AA, MacMahon H, McLoud TC, Müller NL, Remy J, et al. Fleischner Society: glossary of terms for thoracic imaging. Radiology 2008; 246: 697-25.
- 12. File TM. Epidemiology, pathogenesis, microbiology, and diagnosis of hospital-acquired and ventilator-associated pneumonia in adults. Available from: www.uptodate.com Generated: 2018-02-10.

- Almuneef M, Memish ZA, Balkhy H, Abutaleb A. A.Ventilator associated pneumonia in a pediatric intensive care unit in Saudi Arabia: a 30 month prospective surveillance. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2004; 25: 753-8.
- Abramczyk ML, Carvalho WB, Carvalho ES, Medeiros ES. Nosocomial infection in a pediatric intensive care unit in a developing country. Braz J Infect Dis 2003; 7: 375-5.
- Leblebicioglu H, Rosenthal VD, Arıkan ÖA, Ozgültekin A, Yalcin AN, Koksal I, et al. Turkish Branch of INICC. Device-associated hospitalacquired infection rates in Turkish intensive care units. Findings of the International Nosocomial Infection Control Consortium (INICC). J Hosp Infect 2007; 65: 251-7.
- Şardan Y, Oku F, Batir E. Ulusal hastane enfeksiyonları surveyans ağı özet raporu 2015. Sağlık Bakanlığı veri raporu. 37. TMC Kongresi 16-20 Kasım 2016, Antalya.
- Şevketoğlu E, Durdu B, Açıkgoz O, Günay L, Bulgur A, Hatipoğlu S. Device-associated nosocomial infection surveillance in a Turkish pediatric intensive care unit. Turk Pediatri Ars 2010; 45: 13-7.
- Jones RN. Microbial etiologies of hospital-acquired bacterial pneumonia and ventilator-associated bacterial pneumonia. Clin Infect Dis 2010; 51: 81-7.
- Sievert DM, Ricks P, Edwards JR. Antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections: summary of data reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2009-2010. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2013; 34: 1-13.
- Vincent JL, Rello J, Marshall J, Silva E, Anzueto A, Martin CD, et al. International study of the prevalence and outcomes of infection in intensive care units. JAMA 2009; 302: 2323-9.
- Caliendo AM, Gilbert DN, Ginocchio CC, Hanson KE, May L, Quinn TC, et al. Better tests, better care: improved diagnostics for infectious diseases. Clin Infect Dis 2013; 57: 139-31.
- Okada F, Ono A, Ando Y, Nakayama T, Ishii R, Sato H, et al. Thin-section CT findings in Pseudomonas aeruginosa pulmonary infection. Br J Radiol 2012; 85: 1533-5.
- Okada F, Ando Y, Matsushita S, Ishii R, Nakayama T, Morikawa M, et al. Thin-section CT findings of patients with acute Streptococcus pneumoniae pneumonia with and without concurrent infection. Br J Radiol 2012; 85: 357-7.
- Morikawa K, Okada F, Ando Y, Ishii R, Matsushita S, Ono A, et al. Meticillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and meticillin susceptible S. aureus pneumonia: comparison of clinical and thin-section CT findings. Br J Radiol 2012; 85: e168-75. doi: 10.1259/bjr/65538472.
- Bradley JS. Considerations unique to pediatrics for clinical trial design in hospital-acquired pneumonia and ventilator-associated pneumonia. Clin Infect Dis 2010; 51(Suppl 1): S136-43.
- Bor C, Demirag K, Okcu O, Cankayali I, Uyar M, Ventilator-associated pneumonia in critically ill patients with intensive antibiotic usage. Pak J Med Sci 2015; 31: 1441-5.
- Liang YJ, Li ZL, Wang L, Liu BY, Ding RY, Ma XC, et al. Comparision of risk factors and pathogens in patients with early-and late-onset ventilator-associated pneumonia in intensive care unit. Zhonghua Nei Ke Za Zhi 2017; 56: 743-6.